

Exhibit B

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN, LLP
Andrew H. Schapiro (*pro hac vice*)
andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com
191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700
Chicago, IL 60606
Telephone: (312) 705-7400
Facsimile: (312) 705-7401

Stephen A. Broome (CA Bar No. 314605)
sb@quinnmanuel.com
Viola Trebicka (CA Bar No. 269526)
violatrebicka@quinnmanuel.com
865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90017
Telephone: (213) 443-3000
Facsimile: (213) 443-3100

10 Diane M. Doolittle (CA Bar No. 142046)
dianedoolittle@quinnemanuel.com
11 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor
Redwood Shores, CA 94065
12 Telephone: (650) 801-5000
Facsimile: (650) 801-5100

13 Attorneys for Defendant; additional counsel
14 listed in signature blocks below

BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
Mark C. Mao (CA Bar No. 236165)
mmao@bsfllp.com
44 Montgomery Street, 41st Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 293 6858
Facsimile: (415) 999 9695

SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
William Christopher Carmody (*pro hac vice*)
bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com
Shawn J. Rabin (*pro hac vice*)
srabin@susmangodfrey.com
1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32nd Floor
New York, NY 10019
Telephone: (212) 336-8330

MORGAN & MORGAN
John A. Yanchunis (*pro hac vice*)
jyanchunis@forthepeople.com
Ryan J. McGee (*pro hac vice*)
rmcghee@forthepeople.com
201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor
Tampa, FL 33602
Telephone: (813) 223-5505

Attorneys for Plaintiffs; additional counsel listed in signature blocks below

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

CHASOM BROWN, MARIA NGUYEN,
WILLIAM BYATT, JEREMY DAVIS, and
CHRISTOPHER CASTILLO, individually
and on behalf of all other similarly situated.

Plaintiffs.

v.

GOOGLE LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 5:20-cv-03664-LHK-SVK

**[PLAINTIFFS' PROPOSED] SECOND
MODIFIED STIPULATED ORDER RE:
DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY
STORED INFORMATION FOR
STANDARD LITIGATION**

1. PURPOSE

This Order will govern discovery of electronically stored information (“ESI”) in this case as a supplement to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court’s Guidelines for the Discovery of Electronically Stored Information, and any other applicable orders and rules.

2. COOPERATION

The parties are aware of the importance the Court places on cooperation and commit to cooperate in good faith throughout the matter consistent with this Court's Guidelines for the Discovery of ESI.

3. LIAISON

a) The parties have identified liaisons to each other who are and will be knowledgeable about and responsible for discussing their respective ESI. Each e-discovery liaison will be, or have access to those who are, knowledgeable about the technical aspects of e-discovery, including the location, nature, accessibility, format, collection, search methodologies, and production of ESI in this matter. The parties will rely on the liaisons, as needed, to confer about ESI and to help resolve disputes without court intervention.

b) Google appoints Viola Trebicka, Jonathan Tse, and Josef Ansorge as its e-discovery liaison.

c) Plaintiffs appoints Beko Richardson, Jean Martin, and Amy Gregory as its e-discovery liaisons.

4. PRESERVATION

The parties have discussed their preservation obligations and needs and agree that preservation of potentially relevant ESI will be reasonable and proportionate. To reduce the costs and burdens of preservation and to ensure proper ESI is preserved, the Court orders as follows:

a) For designated custodians or descriptions of custodians pursuant to Paragraph 4(b), ESI created or received after June 1, 2008 will be preserved. All ESI created or received after June 1, 2014 will be preserved, and the parties will meet and confer in good faith as to further limitations as provided in Paragraph 4(b). In delineating the scope of preservation herein, the Court does not

1 address the relevance or proportionality or otherwise assess any pending or future request for
 2 production.

3 b) On or before November 30, 2020, the parties will meet and confer regarding: the
 4 types of ESI they believe should be preserved, document retention policies during the relevant time
 5 period, sources of custodial and noncustodial data, and the custodians, or general job titles or
 6 descriptions of custodians, for whom they believe ESI should be preserved (e.g., “Information
 7 Security Officer,” “Information Security Analyst,” “Data Security Analyst,” “Software Engineer,”
 8 “Project Manager,” “Program Manager,” “Data Insights Analyst,” “Data Specialist,” and
 9 “Marketing Manager”), and a description of Named Plaintiffs’ devices that each has used to access
 10 the Internet over the relevant time period to be agreed-upon by the parties or ordered by the Court.
 11 The parties shall meet and confer in order to add or remove custodians and non-custodial data
 12 sources as reasonably necessary.

13 c) The following data sources are not reasonably accessible because of undue burden
 14 or cost pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2)(B) and ESI from these sources will be preserved pursuant
 15 to normal business retention, but not searched, reviewed, or produced:

16 1. backup systems and/or tapes used for disaster recovery;

17 2. systems, server and network logs; and

18 23. systems no longer in use that cannot be accessed.

19 d) Among the sources of data the parties agree are not reasonably accessible, the
 20 parties agree not to preserve, search, or collect the following:

21 1. Automatically saved versions of documents and emails, excluding drafts of
 22 emails that were not sent;

23 2. deleted, slack, fragmented, or other data accessible only by forensics;

24 3. random access memory (RAM), temporary files, or other ephemeral data that are
 25 difficult to preserve without disabling the operating system;

26 4. temporary internet files, history, and cache; and

27 5. data in metadata fields that are frequently updated automatically, such as last
 28 opened dates.

e) In addition to the above, the parties will continue to meet and confer to identify data sources that:

- 1) are not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2)(B) where ESI from these sources will be preserved but not searched, reviewed, or produced; and
- 2) could contain relevant information but under the proportionality factors set forth in Rule 26(b)(1) should not be preserved.

5. SEARCH

9 a) The parties agree that in responding to an initial Fed. R. Civ. P. 34 request, or earlier
10 if appropriate, they will meet and confer about methods to search ESI in order to identify ESI that
11 is subject to production in discovery and filter out ESI that is not subject to discovery.

12 b) The parties recognize that a variety of search tools and methodologies, including but
13 not limited to technology assisted review (“TAR”) tools, exist and should be considered and
14 discussed by the parties.

15 c) Each party will use its best efforts to filter out common system files and application
16 executable files by using a commercially reasonable hash identification process. Hash values that
17 may be filtered out during this process are located in the National Software Reference Library
18 (“NSRL”) NIST hash set list. Additional culling of file types based on file header information
19 may include: Application Package File, Batch Files, Binary Disc Image, C++ File Formats,
20 Configuration File, Compiled Dynamic Link Library, Event Log Files, Compiled Executable
21 Files, Hypertext Cascading Stylesheet, Macintosh Resource Fork Files, Package Manager Files,
22 Program Installers, Python Script Files, Shell Script Files, System or Temporary Files, Thumbnail
23 Cache Files, Troff Files, TrueType Font Files, Windows Cabinet File, Windows Command Files,
24 Windows File Shortcut, Windows Help Files, Windows Metafiles and Enhanced Metafiles,
25 Windows Spool Files, Windows System File as well as backup files containing only the file types
26 above specified.

1 d) The parties agree that they will discuss and strive to agree upon appropriate data
 2 sources and custodians each party believes will possess responsive information, and propose search
 3 terms (if appropriate). In the event that the producing party proposes to use search terms, it will
 4 identify the terms to be utilized; those terms will be subject to negotiation.

5 e) The parties recognize that access to Social Media ESI may be limited by the
 6 provider of Social Media platforms, and that such access can change rapidly with no notice to
 7 parties. Accordingly, the parties shall meet and confer as to the collection and formats of
 8 production of responsive Social Media ESI, if any.

9 f) A party shall make reasonable efforts to produce only a single copy of a responsive
 10 document, and a party may de-duplicate responsive ESI across Custodians through MD5 hash or
 11 SourceHash. However, if a document is de-duplicated, (1) all family relationships must be
 12 maintained, (2) families shall be deduplicated only against families, (3) standalone documents shall
 13 not be deduplicated against documents in families, and (4) the producing party must identify each
 14 custodian or source where the document was located, as well as the “All Custodians” field of the
 15 produced document. Emails in an email thread that are collected and de-duped shall be produced
 16 individually as separate documents. The parties agree that email threading may be employed for
 17 production of email threads with redactions. The parties retain the right to request production of
 18 earlier-in-thread versions of any last-in-thread, redacted documents that are produced.
 19

20 g) If applicable, no provision of this Order affects the inspection or production of source
 21 code, or any other responsive material which is part of or supports a public-facing web site, which
 22 will be collected and made available consistent with the Protective Order governing this case.

23 **6. PRODUCTION FORMATS**

24 The parties agree to produce documents in the formats described in Appendix 1 to this Order.
 25 If particular documents warrant a different format, the parties will cooperate to arrange for the
 26 mutually acceptable production of such documents. The parties agree not to degrade the
 27 searchability of documents as part of the document production process.

1 **7. PHASING**

2 When a party propounds discovery requests pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 34, the parties agree
 3 to meet and confer regarding the phasing of production of ESI, if appropriate, by prioritizing selected
 4 sources and/or custodians.

5 Phasing of production of ESI shall not be used to delay or frustrate discovery in this case. If
 6 phasing of production is likely to cause a delay or frustration of discovery the parties shall confer in
 7 good faith to modify phasing to expedite discovery.

8 **8. DOCUMENTS PROTECTED FROM DISCOVERY**

9 a) Pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 502(d), the production of a privileged or work-product-
 10 protected document, whether inadvertent or otherwise, is not a waiver of privilege or protection
 11 from discovery in this case or in any other federal or state proceeding. Disclosures among
 12 defendants' attorneys of work product or other communications relating to issues of common
 13 interest shall not affect or be deemed a waiver of any applicable privilege or protection from
 14 disclosure. For example, the mere production of privileged or work-product-protected documents
 15 in this case as part of a mass production is not itself a waiver in this case or in any other federal or
 16 state proceeding. A producing party may assert privilege or protection over produced documents at
 17 any time by notifying the receiving party in writing of the assertion of privilege or protection.
 18 Information that contains privileged matter or attorney work product shall be returned or destroyed
 19 immediately if such information appears on its face to have been inadvertently produced or if
 20 requested.

21 b) Communications involving outside counsel that post-date the filing of the complaint
 22 need not be placed on a privilege log. Communications may be identified on a privilege log by
 23 category, rather than individually, if appropriate.

24 c) Activities undertaken in compliance with the duty to preserve information are
 25 protected from discovery under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(3)(A) and (B).

26 d) Nothing in this Agreement shall be interpreted to require disclosure of irrelevant
 27 information or relevant information protected by the attorney-client privilege, work-product
 28 doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity. Redactions based on relevance are

1 disallowed as matter of course, but the parties may nonetheless identify documents that they believe
2 should be redacted before productions, triggering an expedited duty to meet and confer. If no
3 agreement can be reached, the party seeking the redaction may seek a protective order in the ordinary
4 course. The parties do not waive any objections to the production, discoverability, admissibility, or
5 confidentiality of documents and ESI.

6 **9. REMAINING DISPUTES AND MODIFICATION**

7 The parties acknowledge that there may be certain disputes concerning additional ESI-
8 related issues that the parties were unable to completely resolve prior to preparing this Stipulated
9 Order. Nothing in this Stipulated Order shall prohibit either party from presenting such disputes to
10 the Court for resolution and neither party will argue that such dispute has already been resolved by
11 virtue of the fact that it was omitted from this Stipulated Order.

12 This Stipulated Order may be modified by a Stipulated Order of the parties or by the Court
13 for good cause shown. Any such modified Stipulated Order will be titled sequentially as follows,
14 "First Modified Stipulated Order re: Discovery of Electronically Stored Information for Standard
15 Litigation," and each modified Stipulated Order will supersede the previous Stipulated Order.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 **10. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS**

2 a) Any practice or procedure set forth herein may be varied by agreement of the Parties,
3 and first will be confirmed in writing, where such variance is deemed appropriate to facilitate the
4 timely and economical exchange of electronic data or other covered discovery materials.

5 b) Should any Party subsequently determine in good faith that it cannot proceed as
6 required by this order or that the order requires modification, the Parties will meet and confer to
7 resolve any dispute before seeking Court intervention.

8 **IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.**

9
10 DATED: March 18, 2021

11 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
12 SULLIVAN, LLP

13 By _____
14 Andrew H. Schapiro (admitted *pro hac vice*)
andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com
191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700
Chicago, IL 60606
Tel: (312) 705-7400
Fax: (312) 705-7401

15 Stephen A. Broome (CA Bar No. 314605)
sb@quinnemanuel.com
16 Viola Trebicka (CA Bar No. 269526)
violatrebicka@quinnemanuel.com
17 865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90017
18 Tel: (213) 443-3000
Fax: (213) 443-3100

19 Josef Ansorge (admitted *pro hac vice*)
josefansorge@quinnemanuel.com
20 1300 I Street NW, Suite 900
Washington D.C., 20005
21 Tel: (202) 538-8000
Fax: (202) 538-8100

22 Jonathan Tse (CA Bar No. 305468)
jonathantse@quinnemanuel.com
23 50 California Street, 22nd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
24 Tel: (415) 875-6600
Fax: (415) 875-6700

25 CASE NO. 5:20-cv-03664-LHK-SVK

1 Thao Thai (CA Bar No. 324672)
2 thaothai@quinnmanuel.com
3 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor
Redwood Shores, CA 94065
Tel: (650) 801-5000
Fax: (650) 801-5100

5 *Attorneys for Defendant*
6 Google LLC

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 DATED: March 18, 2021

BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP

2
3 By /s/ Mark C. Mao

4
5 Mark C. Mao
6 Sean Phillips Rodriguez
7 Beko Reblitz-Richardson
8 Alexander Justin Konik
9 44 Montgomery Street, 41st Floor
10 San Francisco, CA 94104
11 Telephone: (415) 293 6858
Facsimile (415) 999 9695

12 James W. Lee (*pro hac vice*)
jlee@bsflp.com

13 Rossana Baeza (*pro hac vice*)
rbaeza@bsflp.com
100 SE 2nd Street, Suite 2800
Miami, FL 33130
Telephone: (305) 539-8400
Facsimile: (305) 539-1304

14 William Christopher Carmody (*pro hac vice*)
bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com

15 Shawn J. Rabin (*pro hac vice*)
srabin@susmangodfrey.com
Steven Shepard (*pro hac vice*)
sshepard@susmangodfrey.com

16 SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
1301 Avenue of the Americas, 32nd Floor
New York, NY 10019
Telephone: (212) 336-8330

17 Amanda Bonn (CA Bar No. 270891)
abonn@susmangodfrey.com

18 SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Telephone: (310) 789-3100

20 John A. Yanchunis (*pro hac vice*)
jyanchunis@forthepeople.com
21 Ryan J. McGee (*pro hac vice*)
rjmcgee@forthepeople.com

1 MORGAN & MORGAN, P.A.
2 201 N Franklin Street, 7th Floor
3 Tampa, FL 33602
4 Telephone: (813) 223-5505
5 Facsimile: (813) 222-4736

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

1
2 **APPENDIX 1**
3 **PRODUCTION FORMAT AND METADATA**

- 4
- 5 1. **Production Components.** Productions shall include, single page TIFFs, Text Files, an
6 ASCII delimited metadata file (.txt, .dat, or .csv) and an image load file that can be loaded
7 into commercially acceptable production software (e.g., Concordance).
- 8 2. **Image Load File** shall contain the following comma-delimited fields: BEGBATES,
9 VOLUME, IMAGE FILE PATH, DOCUMENT BREAK, FOLDER BREAK, BOX
10 BREAK, PAGE COUNT.
- 11 3. **Metadata Fields and Metadata File.** Each of the metadata and coding fields set forth
12 below that can be extracted shall be produced for each document. The parties are not
13 obligated to populate manually any of the fields below if such fields cannot be extracted
14 from a document, with the exception of the following: BEGBATES, ENDBATES,
15 BEGATTACH, ENDATTACH, and CUSTODIAN. The metadata file shall be delimited
16 according to the following characters:
17
 - Delimiter = ¶ (ASCII:020)
 - Text-Qualifier = ¢ (ASCII:254)
 - New Line = ® (ASCII:174)
 - Multi-value delimiter - ; (ASCII Code 059)

Field Name	Field Description
BEGBATES	Beginning Bates number as stamped on the production image
ENDBATES	Ending Bates number as stamped on the production image
BEGATTACH	First production Bates number of the first document in a family
ENDATTACH	Last production Bates number of the last document in a family
CUSTODIAN	the Individual (Custodian) from whom the document originated
SUBJECT	Subject line of email
TITLE	Title from properties of document
DATESENT	Date and time email was sent (format: MM/DD/YYYY HH:MM:SS (UTC time zone))
TO	All recipients that were included on the “To” line of the email
FROM	The name and email address of the sender of the email
CC	All recipients that were included on the “CC” line of the email

1 BCC	All recipients that were included on the “BCC” line of the email
3 OWNER	Any value populated in the Owner field of the document properties
4 FILENAME	Filename of an electronic document (Edoc or attachment)
5 DATEMOD	Date and time an electronic document was last modified or created (format: MM/DD/YYYY HH:MM:SS (UTC time zone)) (Edoc or attachment)
8 DATECREATED	Date and time the document was created (format: MM/DD/YYYY HH:MM:SS (UTC time zone)) (Edoc or attachment)
9 NATIVELINK	Native File Link (Native Files only)
10 TEXTLINK	Link to text files
11 ATTACHMENT NAME	
12 MD5	MD5 hash value
14 ALL CUSTODIANS	Includes the Individual (Custodian) from whom the documents originated and all Individual(s) whose documents de-duplicated out (De-Duped Custodian), with multiple values separated by semi-colons
16 PRODVAL	Production volume that the document was produced in
17 REDACTED	Y/N
19 CONFIDENTIALITY	Confidential level assigned pursuant to applicable protective order or stipulation

20 4. **TIFFs.** Documents that exist only in hard copy format shall be scanned and produced as
21 TIFFs. Unless excepted below, documents that exist as ESI shall be converted and
22 produced as TIFFs. Unless excepted below, single page Group IV TIFFs should be
23 provided, at least 300 dots per inch (dpi) for all documents. Each TIFF image shall be
24 named according to a unique corresponding Bates number associated with the document.
25 Each image shall be branded according to the Bates number and the agreed upon
26 confidentiality designation. Original document orientation should be maintained (i.e.,
27 portrait to portrait and landscape to landscape). TIFFs shall show all text and images that
28 would be visible to a user of the hard copy documents. TIFFs of ESI that contain
29 comments, suggested edits, and speaker notes (collectively, “Revisions”) at the time of ESI
30 collection will make such Revisions visible in the image.

- 1 5. **Text Files.** A single multi-page text file in UTF-8 encoding shall be provided for each
- 2 document, and the filename should match its respective TIFF filename. A commercially
- 3 acceptable technology for optical character recognition “OCR”, set for its highest quality
- 4 setting, shall be used for all scanned, hard copy documents. When possible, the text of
- 5 native files should be extracted directly from the native file. Text files will not contain the
- 6 redacted portions of the documents and OCR text files will be substituted instead of
- 7 extracted text files for redacted documents except for Excel files redacted as native files.
- 8 All documents shall be produced with a link in the TextLink field.
- 9
- 10 6. **Image Load Files / Data Load Files.** Each TIFF in a production must be referenced in the
- 11 corresponding image load file. The total number of documents referenced in a
- 12 production’s data load file should match the total number of designated document breaks
- 13 in the Image Load file(s) in the production. The total number of pages referenced in a
- 14 production’s image load file should match the total number of TIFF files in the production.
- 15 The total number of documents in a production should match the total number of records in
- 16 the data load file.
- 17 7. **Bates Numbering.** All images must be assigned a unique Bates number that is sequential
- 18 within a given document and across the production sets.
- 19 8. **Confidentiality Designation.** Responsive documents in TIFF format will be stamped with
- 20 the appropriate confidentiality designations in accordance with the Protective Order in this
- 21 matter. Each responsive document produced in native format will have its confidentiality
- 22 designation identified in the filename of the native file.
- 23 9. **Redaction Of Information.** If documents are produced containing redacted information,
- 24 an electronic copy of the original, unredacted data shall be securely preserved in such a
- 25 manner so as to preserve without modification, alteration or addition the content of such
- 26 data including any metadata therein.
- 27 10. **Native Files.** Spreadsheets (e.g., MS Excel, Google Sheets), media (audio/video) files, and
- 28 delimited text files (e.g. comma-separated value (.csv) files and tab-separated value (.tsv)
- files) shall be produced in their native format, along with a single page TIFF placeholder
- with the Bates number, and the text “Produced in Native Format”. TIFF images of the
- native files themselves need not be produced unless the files have been redacted, in which
- instance such files shall be produced in TIFF with OCR Text Files. If good cause exists to
- request production of files, other than those specifically set forth above, in native format,
- the party may request such production and provide an explanation of the need for native
- file review, which request shall not unreasonably be denied. Any native files that are
- produced shall be produced with a link in the NativeLink field, along with extracted text
- and applicable metadata fields set forth in Appendix 1. A TIFF placeholder indicating that
- the document was provided in native format should accompany the database record. If a

1 file has been redacted, TIFF images and OCR text of the redacted document will suffice in
2 lieu of a native file and extracted text.

3 11. **Color.** The parties agree to produce Documents in black and white. A party may request
4 that certain documents be re-produced in color, which the producing party will consider in
5 good faith.

6 12. **Proprietary Files.** To the extent a response to discovery requires production of ESI
7 accessible only through proprietary software, the parties should continue to preserve each
8 version of such information. The parties shall meet and confer to finalize the appropriate
9 production format.

10 13. **Production Media.** Documents shall be encrypted and produced on external hard drives,
11 readily accessible computer(s) or other electronic media (“Production Media”). Each piece
12 of Production Media shall identify a production number corresponding to the production
13 volume (e.g., “VOL001,” “VOL002”), as well as the volume of the material in that
14 production (e.g. “-001,” “-002”). Each piece of Production Media shall also identify: (1)
15 the producing party’s name; (2) the production date; (3) the Bates Number range of the
16 materials contained on the Production Media; and (4) the set(s) of requests for production
17 for which the documents are being produced.

18 14. **Less Commonly Used File Types.** The Parties will meet and confer on the production
19 format of less-commonly used file types, such as CAD, GIS data, materials and prototypes
20 testing, etc.

21 15. **Emails.** Produced TIFF images of emails that are last-in-thread shall include all header
22 information that would be visible if the email was viewed in Gmail including: (1) the
23 individuals to whom the communication was directed (“To”), (2) the sender of the email
24 communication (“From”), (3) who was copied and blind copied on such email (“CC” and
25 “BCC”), (4) the subject line of the email (“RE” or “Subject”), (5) the date and time of the
26 email, and (6) the file name of any attachments. Produced TIFF images of last-in-thread
27 emails may also include earlier-in-thread emails in the same TIFF image. In that event, the
28 TIFF image will include at least the sender and the date and time of the earlier-in-thread
emails.